IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

TUESDAY, THE 6TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 15TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 458 of 2007(D)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.21664/2003 Dated 07/12/2006
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER/IST RESPONDENT

FOAM MATTINGS (INDIA) LIMITED,
P.O.BOX NO.4619, ALAPPUZHA-688 012,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.PATHROSE MATTHAI (SR.)
SRI.GRASHIOUIS KURIAKOSE

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER AND 2ND RESPONDENT
---

1. GANGADHARAN ACHARI,
SRINILAYAM, PARAVOOR P.O.,
ALAPPUZHA.

2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT, INDUSTRIES
DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.V.MANOJKUMAR.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 06/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

R.P.No.458 of 2007 in W.P.(C) No.21664/03

Dated 6th November, 2007.

O R D E R

All that the review petitioner seeks is a clarification to the effect that this court has not pronounced anything on the merits of the case regarding the dispute whether the writ petitioner is a workman or not. In view of the factual dispute in that regard, this court has only relegated the writ petitioner to pursue his grievance under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Needless to say, it will be open to the review petitioner/1st respondent to take all available contentions regarding the factual dispute as to whether the writ petitioner is a workman or not.

The Review Petition is disposed of with the above clarification.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs